Announcing the 2009 NAHMA Affordable 100!

How many housing units that receive at least one form of federal subsidy are currently rented or available for rent in the United States today? The annual NAHMA Affordable 100 list provides this important data!

The NAHMA Affordable 100 comprises the largest affordable multifamily property management companies, ranked by affordable unit counts. The NAHMA Affordable 100 list contributes vital data to the ongoing national dialogue on the future of federal funding for affordable housing. In an effort to accurately determine the portfolio of affordable units receiving federal subsidy in the United States, NAHMA publishes this annual listing of affordable units containing at least one of the following federal subsidies: HUD Project-based Assistance Section 42 LIHTC HOME funds USDA Section 515 Bonds

The National Affordable Housing Management Association (NAHMA) is the leading voice for affordable housing management, advocating on behalf of multifamily rental property managers and owners whose mission is to provide quality affordable housing.

NAHMA supports legislative and regulatory policy that promotes the development and preservation of decent and safe multifamily affordable housing. NAHMA serves as a vital resource for technical education and information, fosters strategic relations between government and industry, and recognizes those who exemplify the best in affordable housing. NAHMA believes that benefits of the Affordable 100 list include:

It sets a foundation for annually identifying an accurate count of available affordable units by a credible, national organization.

It provides valuable historical information to advocate on behalf of developers, owners, managers, and most importantly, the residents who rely on federal funds. It aids in convincing federal departments and agencies to cooperate, and in working together, to assist in the preservation of affordable housing and the creation of improved housing policy.

It ensures a continued subsidy stream based on actual need demonstrated by data.

RANK / MANAGEMENT COMPANY (2008 rank shown in parentheses)		HEADQUARTERS	TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS		
			SUBSIDIZED ¹	RESIDENTIAL²	
1	Riverstone Residential Group (2)	Dallas, TX	61,535	181,928	
2	American Management Services				
	(dba Pinnacle)* (13)	Seattle, WA	59,895	171,869	
3	Interstate Realty Management* (1)	Marlton, NJ	32,668	36,989	
4	Concord Management, Ltd. (4)	Maitland, FL	30,794	31,544	
5	WinnResidential* (5)	Boston, MA	26,783	72,727	
6	AIMCO Capital* (3)	Denver, CO	25,000	154,987	
7	Related Management Company, L.P. (10)	New York, NY	21,927	27,065	
8	The John Stewart Company (11)	San Francisco, CA	21,849	29,090	
9	Edgewood Management* (12)	Silver Spring, MD	21,587	26,143	
10	National Church Residences* (7)	Columbus, OH	21,465	22,505	
11	Capstone Real Estate Services, Inc. (6)	Austin, TX	20,940	49,541	
12	Ambling Management Company (8)	Atlanta, GA	18,485	25,679	
13	McCormack Baron Ragan Management				
	Services (16)	St. Louis, MO	15,500	16,598	
14	Wingate Management Company (17)	Atlanta, GA	15,000	15,000	
15	Volunteers of America (15)	Alexandria, VA	14,930	15,337	
16	Lane Company (14)	Atlanta, GA	14,691	25,130	
17	FPI Multifamily (50)	Folsom, CA	14,361	56,000	
18	Mercy Housing, Inc. (48)	Denver, CO	14,237	15,041	
19	Grenadier Realty Corp. (22)	Brooklyn, NY	13,361	20,878	
20	KMG Prestige (18)	Mt. Pleasant, MI	13,319	14,792	
21	Cornerstone Residential				
	Management (19)	Lake Worth, FL	13,247	15,000	
22	Royal American Management (25)	Panama City, FL	13,179	16,354	
23	Summit Housing Partners*	Montgomery, AL	12,835	12,835	
24	Picerne Real Estate Group (33)	Phoenix, AZ	12,772	13,268	
25	SPM, Inc. (20)	Birmingham, AL	12,696	15,112	
26	Boyd Management (21)	Columbia, SC	11,868	12,444	
27	Dominium Management Services (23)	Plymouth, MN	11,842	16,200	
28	Orion Real Estate Services (27)	Houston, TX	11,417	16,428	
29	Retirement Housing Foundation	Long Beach County, CA	11,302	15,014	
30	Lincoln Property Company (28)	Dallas, TX	11,200	130,265	
31	Calex Realty Group, Inc. (30)	Jacksonville, FL	11,162	12,587	
32	Gene B. Glick Company, Inc. (24)	Indianapolis, IN	11,049	17,327	
33	Archstone-Smith (32)	Englewood, CO	11,000	87,667	

RA	NK / MANAGEMENT COMPANY	HEADQUARTERS	TOTAL NU
(20	108 rank shown in parentheses)		SUBSIDIZE
34	Wallick—Hendy Companies (53)	Reynoldsburg, OH	10,567
35	SL Nusbaum Realty Co. (34)	Norfolk, VA	10,391
36	Coldwater Management LLC (35)	Sherman Oaks, CA	9,979
37	Maco Management Company, Inc. (36)	Clarkton, MO	9,916
38	The Yarco Companies (31)	Kansas City, MO	9,911
39	5	Carmel, IN	9,500
40	5	Roanoke, VA	9,500
41	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Winston-Salem, NC	9,443
42		Rockford, IL	9,011
43	Village Property Management (42)	Irvine, CA	9,000
44	Alpha-Barnes Real Estate Services (43)	Dallas, TX	8,800
45	Forest City Residential Management, Inc. (40)	Cleveland, OH	8,739
46	Conifer Realty (54)	Rochester, NY	8,662
47	The Community Builders* (44)	Boston, MA	8,571
48	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Suffern, NY	8,534
49	USA Properties Fund, Inc. (45)	Roseville, CA	8,500
	ConAm Management Corporation (92)	San Diego, CA	8,149
51	Fairfield Residential (41)	Grand Prairie, TX	8,148
52	Partnership Property Management (46)	Greensboro, NC	8,034
53	Pacific West Management (51)	Irvine, CA	8,000
54	HSC Real Estate (47)	Seattle, WA	8,000
55	NDC Real Estate Management, Inc. (49)	Pittsburgh, PA	7,926
56	Sun Belt Management Company (52)	Albertville, AL	7,400
57	G & K Management Co., Inc. (55)	Culver City, CA	7,118
58	Ledic Management Group (57)	Memphis, TN	7,000
	Peabody Properties, Inc.* (73)	Braintree, MA	6,927
	RY Management (61)	New York, NY	6,750
61	Cascade Management,	C . D . OD	(700
10	aka Kellenbeck PM (79)	Grants Pass, OR	6,729
	Flaherty & Collins Properties (81)	Indianapolis, IN	6,721
6 3	Kettler Management	McLean, VA Fenton, MI	6,692
65	IMS Properties (58) Equity Management, Inc. (62)	Laurel, MD	6,527 6,500
	Continental Wingate (63)	Needham, MA	6,429
	ALCO Management* (70)	Memphis, TN	6,407
	GEM Management (64)	Charlotte, NC	6,300
69	Medallion Management, Inc. (65)	Kalamazoo, MI	6,220
70			
	Services, Inc. (66)	Kansas City, MO	6,129
71	Metroplex, Inc. (67)	Chicago, IL	6,081
72	SHP Management Corp. (68)	Cumberland Foreside, ME	6,037
73	Oakbrook Corporation (59)	Madison, WI	6,000
74	Summit America (69)	Montgomery, AL	6,000
75	Midwest Management (72)	Milford, MI	6,000
76	Fourmidable Group (74)	Bingham Farms, MI	6,000
77	Lenzy Hayes (75)	Bloomington, IN	6,000
78	Mid-Peninsula Housing Management (76)	Foster City, CA	6,000
79 80	Preservation Management Inc. (77)	South Portland, ME	6,000 5 815
81	Beacon Communities, LLC (78)	Boston, MA Warren, MI	5,815 5,712
82	CSI Support & Development Services (86) The Pam Companies (91)	Lodi, CA	5,700
83	Millennia Housing Management, LTD (82)	Valley View, OH	5,640
84	Naimisha Management Inc. (80)	Palm Beach Gardens, FL	5,602
85	The Hallmark Companies (56)	Atlanta, GA	5,421
86	Ingerman Group (84)	Cherry Hill, NJ	5,401
87	HJ Russell & Company* (85)	Atlanta, GA	5,400
88	Alpha Property Management, Inc. (87)	Los Angeles, CA	5,329
89	The Shelter Group (88)	Baltimore, MD	5,294
90	Pacificap Management, Inc. (89)	Portland, OR	5,274
91	Urban, Inc. (90)	Greenwood Village, CO	5,200
92	Corcoran Jennison Management* (26)	Quincy, MA	5,198
93	Quantum Management Services, Inc.	Lynnwood, WA	5,053
94	Shelter Properties LLC	Baltimore, MD	5,002
95	American Community Properties Trust (93)	St. Charles, MD	5,000
96	Westminster Company* (95)	Greensboro, NC	5,000
97	Brackenhoff Management Group, Inc. (96)	Carson, CA	4,932
98	Landura Companies (98)	Winston-Salem, NC	4,924
99	Arco Management Corporation) Tesco Properties, Inc.* (99)	Suffern, NY Germantown, TN	4,814 4,674
100		Jermantown, IIV	7,0/4

100 Tesco Properties, Inc.* (99)

FOR MORE DETAILS ON NAHMA, VISIT WWW.NAHMA.ORG

2009 NAHMA AFFORDABLE 100

Companies in bold provided data for NAHMA's survey. All others are based on industry estimates.

* A NAHMA Communities of Quality National Recognition Program Participant

¹ and ² All unit data represents only units directly managed (not owned) that were rented or available to rent on December 1, 2008. Down units, abated units, units under construction or rehabbing units not available for rent are not included.

¹ Total affordable units managed. Federal programs only, including HUD, LIHTC, USDA, HOME, and Bond programs. Data does not include state or local subsidy, public housing, tenant-based vouchers (Section 8 or RD tenant-protection vouchers), or Federal mortgage insurance or loan guarantee programs. If a unit has more than one subsidy, it is counted only once.

² Total residential units managed (including market or affordable).

NAHMA would like to extend its sincere thanks to the NAHMA Survey Task Force, without whose hard work and support this survey would not be possible. In particular, sincere appreciation goes to Task Force Chair Allan B. Pintner, and task force members Audra Garrison, Laura Swanson, Mark Livanec, David Buffington, John Yang, Sara Dunnington, Kris Panks and Scott Nelson.

If you believe your company should be included in next year's survey, please contact us at joe.thomas@nahma.org.



S	D	E	N	Ľ	
	11 17 11 12 11 13 12 9 9	,4 7,5 1,6 2,0 1,6 2,0 2,0 7,4 7,3 7,0	7 58 52 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	3 1 8 0 9 6 0 7 5 0	
	9 37 8 42 52 8 22 32 32 7 7 15 26		24905430970835	23104640800320	
	12 14 7 10 11 7 7	,5 7,7),0	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 7 2 0	9 0 0 5 0 0	
	6 6 8 6 7 7 6 6 6 7 5 5 5 6 6 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 8 5 6 4 3 3		39 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	300000062062800948011700647	

IBER OF UNITS